

## Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 PARIS 22539 231752Z

73

ACTION STR-08

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 IO-13 ADP-00 AGR-20 CEA-02 CIAE-00

COME-00 DODE-00 EB-11 FRB-02 H-03 INR-10 INT-08 L-03

LAB-06 NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-03 RSC-01 AID-20 CIEP-02

SS-15 TAR-02 TRSE-00 USIA-15 PRS-01 OMB-01 RSR-01

/183 W

----- 034224

R 231704Z AUG 73

FM AMEMBASSY PARIS

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2714

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY DUBLIN

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

AMEMBASSY ROME

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

USMISSION GENEVA

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE PARIS 22539

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: GATT, ETRD, FR

SUBJECT: ARTICLE 24:6 NEGOTIATIONS

REF: STATE 148243; PARIS 21155

1. WE HAVE HAD A FURTHER EXPRESSION OF GOF VIEWS ON  
ARTICLE 24:6 NEGOTIATIONS FROM DE MOREL, DIRECTOR OF DREE.  
DE MOREL RECALLED THAT THE EC NOW RECOGNIZED THAT ITS  
DECEMBER OFFER WAS NOT ADEQUATE AND HAD DECIDED TO  
MAKE A NEW GLOBAL OFFER BASED ON A RECALCULATION OF  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 PARIS 22539 231752Z

CONCESSIONS TO WHICH SO-CALLED DEFICIT COUNTRIES WERE ENTITLED,

A DECISION IN WHICH THE GOF HAD FULLY ACQUIESCED.  
IT WAS UNDERSTOOD THAT, WHILE THE US COULD NOT BE CONSIDERED  
A DEFICIT COUNTRY, SOME OF THE ITEMS ON THE EC LIST WOULD BENEFIT  
THE US. IT WAS FRANCE'S HOPE THAT THE US WOULD FIND THIS  
ADEQUATE COMPENSATION.

2. WE NOTED THAT THE LIST STILL HAD TO BE APPROVED BY THE MEMBER  
GOVERNMENTS. WITHOUT EXPRESSING A VIEW ON ACCEPTABILITY OF OFFER,  
WE OBSERVED THAT SOME ITEMS ON THE LIST WOULD OBVIOUSLY BE OF  
GREATER INTEREST TO THE US THAN TO THE DEFICIT COUNTRIES. WHAT  
WOULD THE FRENCH ATTITUDE ON SUCH ITEMS BE? DE MOREL SAID THAT  
THE VIEW OF HIS MINISTRY WAS THAT THE GOF SHOULD APPROVE SUCH  
ITEMS PROVIDED THERE WERE OTHER BENEFICIARIES AS WELL, BUT SHOULD  
OPPOSE ITEMS WHERE THE US WAS THE SOLE BENEFICIARY (ASSUMING  
THERE WERE ANY SUCH ITEMS ON THE LIST). IN OTHER WORDS, THE  
DREE WAS NOT OPPOSED TO GIVING US COMPENSATION BUT ONLY TO  
ACCEPTING THE PRINCIPLE THAT COMPENSATION WAS OWED TO THE US.  
WE ASKED WHETHER THE MFA SHARED THIS VIEW. DE MOREL ADMITTED  
THAT MFA HAD BEEN TAKING A VERY TOUGH POSITION ON ARTICLE 24:6  
BUT HE WAS CERTAIN THAT THEY WOULD GO ALONG WITH THE DREE POSITION.

EXEMPT FROM AUTOMATIC DECONTROL.  
STONE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 23 AUG 1973  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** hilburpw  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1973PARIS22539  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** PARIS  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1973/newtext/t19730820/aaaaaojn.tel  
**Line Count:** 84  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** ACTION STR  
**Original Classification:** LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** STATE 148243; PARIS 21155  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** hilburpw  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 21 AUG 2001  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <21-Aug-2001 by izenbei0>; APPROVED <19-Sep-2001 by hilburpw>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** ARTICLE 24:6 NEGOTIATIONS  
**TAGS:** ETRD, FR, GATT  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005